## **United States District Court**

WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  V.  Maurice Lashon Emery		ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL Case Number: 1:07 MJ 405			
			facts r	In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C require the detention of the defendant pending trial in	. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following this case.
				(1) The defendant is charged with an offense decoffense state or local offense that would be jurisdiction had existed – that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S. an offense for which the maximum sente	
	<ul> <li>in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comp</li> <li>(2) The offense described in finding (1) was comported or local offense.</li> <li>(3) A period of not more than five years has elap imprisonment for the offense described in finding Nos. (1),(2) and (3) establish a rebut</li> </ul>	fendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described barable state or local offenses.  Imitted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state used since the date of conviction release of the defendant from ding (1).  Ittable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will erson(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not			
Alternate Findings (A)  (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committee an offense					
	☐ for which a maximum term of imprisonmed ☐ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).  (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presump	ent of ten years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act tion established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions defendant as required and the safety of the community.			
X	(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will	ernate Findings (B) not appear. endanger the safety of another person or the community.			
	Part II – Written St	atement of Reasons for Detention			
	I find that the credible testimony and information s	submitted at the hearing establish by			
co for at	mmitting a bank robbery on December 11, 2007. He r crimes of violence) and five felony convictions over least once by committing new offenses. He is preser	state custody on parole for less than one month. He has confessed to has a serious criminal history, including twelve misdemeanor convictions (many the past eight years. (See attached.) He has violated probation twice and parole htly on parole for bank robbery and burglary, and his parole agent has lodged a nity and cannot be trusted to abide by the law if released.			
appea the Un	The defendant is committed to the custody of the ctions facility separate, to the extent practicable, from it. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opposited States or on request of an attorney for the Gove	Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending portunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of ernment, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.			
December 27, 2007		/s/ Joseph G. Scoville			
Date		Signature of Judge			
		Joseph G. Scoville, United States Magistrate Judge  Name and Title of Judge			